

AMENDMENT NO. 4925

(Purpose: To establish an illegitimacy reduction bonus fund)

Beginning on page 202, line 20, strike "a grant" and all that follows through line 13 on page 203, and insert the following: "an illegitimacy reduction bonus if—

"(i) the State demonstrates that the number of out-of-wedlock births that occurred in the State during the most recent 2-year period for which such information is available decreased as compared to the number of such births that occurred during the previous 2-year period; and

"(ii) the rate of induced pregnancy terminations in the State for the fiscal year is less than the rate of induced pregnancy terminations in the State for fiscal year 1995.

"(B) PARTICIPATION IN ILLEGITIMACY BONUS.—A State that demonstrates a decrease under subparagraph (A)(i) shall be eligible for a grant under paragraph (5).

On page 203, line 19, strike "(B)" and insert "(C)".

On page 204, line 7, strike "(C)" and insert "(D)".

On page 204, lines 13 and 14, strike "for fiscal year 1995" and insert "the preceding 2 fiscal years".

On page 214, between lines 10 and 11, insert the following:

"(5) BONUS TO REWARD DECREASE IN ILLEGITIMACY.—

"(A) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall make a grant pursuant to this paragraph to each State determined eligible under paragraph (2)(B) for each bonus year for which the State demonstrates a net decrease in out-of-wedlock births.

"(B) AMOUNT OF GRANT.—

"(i) IN GENERAL.—Subject to this subparagraph, the Secretary shall determine the amount of the grant payable under this paragraph to a low illegitimacy State for a bonus year.

"(ii) TOP FIVE STATES.—With respect to States determined eligible under paragraph (2)(B) for a fiscal year, the Secretary shall determine which five of such States demonstrated the greatest decrease in out-of-wedlock births under such paragraph for the period involved. Each of such five States shall receive a grant of equal amount under this paragraph for such fiscal year but such amount shall not exceed \$20,000,000 for any single State.

"(iii) LESS THAN FIVE STATES.—With respect to a fiscal year, if the Secretary determines that there are less than five States eligible under paragraph (2)(B) for a fiscal year, the grants under this paragraph shall be awarded to each such State in an equal amount but such amount shall not exceed \$25,000,000 for any single State.

"(C) BONUS YEAR.—The term 'bonus year' means fiscal years 1999, 2000, 2001, 2002, and 2003.

"(D) APPROPRIATION.—Out of any money in the Treasury of the United States not otherwise appropriated, there are appropriated for fiscal years 1999 through 2003, such sums as are necessary for grants under this paragraph.

MORNING BUSINESS

Mr. ROTH. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that there now be a period for the transaction of morning business with Senators permitted to speak for up to 5 minutes each.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

THOMAS R. BURKE

Mr. HATCH. Mr. President, I rise to today to speak a few words in remem-

brance of Thomas R. Burke, whose recent, tragic death at the young age of 57 has robbed America of one of its leading health care policymakers.

Many of us in this body remember Tom Burke for his outstanding work at the Department of Health and Human Services. Indeed, I first came to know Tom over a decade ago during the confirmation process for one of the great HHS Secretaries of all time, Dr. Otis Bowen. I quickly came to admire Tom's forthright style, which some may have called gruff. But everyone respected Tom for his vigor, honesty, and impact.

In the early 1980's, Tom served as the staff director of the Advisory Council on Social Security, chaired by Dr. Bowen. When Dr. Bowen joined the Reagan administration as Secretary of Health and Human Services in 1985, he made a wise decision and chose Tom Burke as Chief of Staff of the 110,000 employee department. This was a significant honor and great responsibility—and Tom didn't let Dr. Bowen down. He stood as "Doc's" top-most advocate, defender, and protector, until President Reagan left office.

While many remember Tom for the Medicare catastrophic legislation, which I will discuss in a moment, Tom must be remembered for his many, many other accomplishments at HHS, including initiatives to: Strengthen patient-outcomes and medical effectiveness research; launch a public awareness campaign against alcohol abuse; propose reforms in the medical liability system; and, undertake managerial changes to elevate the Indian Health Service and rejuvenate the Commissioned Corps of the Public Health Service.

Tom Burke worked diligently on behalf of our Nation's seniors in the area of catastrophic health insurance. While we know that this legislation proved to be controversial, there is one aspect of this issue about which there can be no disagreement: Tom Burke worked hard to accomplish what he thought was in the best interest of the American public.

Indeed, the record must reflect that the original Bowen-Burke proposal was a much, much more modest proposal than that which the Congress ultimately expanded, approved and repealed. I remember well the initial idea which Tom had such a large hand in bringing to the forefront of public debate. It was a small add-on to the amount seniors pay for Medicare, under \$5 a month, in exchange for which seniors would have the peace of mind of knowing they had unlimited hospitalization coverage. Unfortunately, this was not the provision which became law.

Tom was widely recognized by his peers for these accomplishments, a fact recognized by the special awards he received from Secretary Bowen and Surgeon General C. Everett Koop.

Tom Burke had a long career in public service. In addition to his work at

HHS, Tom was a member of the Green Berets and also became Director of Health Policy Analysis for the Assistant Secretary for Health Affairs at the Department of Defense. These two assignments served him well in his later Government service.

Mr. President, after Tom's untimely passing, a number of us who worked closely with him wanted to express our admiration of his service to the government and of his achievements in health care policy. At this time, I ask unanimous consent that the statements of two of this body's most distinguished health care leaders—now retired—Senator Dave Durenberger, and Senator George Mitchell, be printed in the RECORD at this point.

There being no objection, the material was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

STATEMENT OF SENATOR DAVID DURENBERGER

Tom Burke will always be my friend. He represents all that is good in making public policy in Washington D.C. We made a lot of it in the 1980's, especially through the Medicare program. It was Republicans and Democrats, Senate and House.

Our most significant effort was Burke-Bowen or Bowen-Burke or whatever. Neither was elected to Congress, but HHS Secretary Otis Bowen and his Chief of Staff, Tom Burke, made us who were in Congress make sense out of Medicare. They insisted we protect every elderly and disabled American from financial catastrophe because of medical, long-term care, drug price or medigap premium expenses. They created a "Secretary's Task Force" to iron out all the varied views; they marched it through all the Committees and the finale—a conference committee in the LBJ. Room on the Senate side of the Capitol.

I was the most recent Republican chair of the Health Sub-Committee of Finance, just replaced by George Mitchell, so Tom treated me with just enough of the deference due my office. But not so much that I didn't know he believed strongly enough in what we were privileged enough to do for America and that he'd find a way to get it done even if we had some disagreements.

America misses the policy that legislation changed. Its repeal has cost billions. And we all miss Tom now that the Lord has repealed his lease on our lives. Our last joint effort—a year ago—was his initiative too. When I retired from the Senate he called and put me to work helping him convince his beloved Indian University that its Otis Bowen Health Policy Center could really impact Washington if it had a presence here. And of course he'd carry on a part of that presence. Doing all the policy reform work that was left undone during his time with Secretary Bowen.

STATEMENT OF SENATOR GEORGE MITCHELL

Tom was a very devoted public servant who I came to know during the policy debates over Medicare Catastrophes Health Insurance in the late 1980's. Tom believed in the need to help the elderly better cope with the complexities and shortcomings of health insurance. He helped design and promote a Medicare Catastrophic benefit, even when doing so made him unpopular with some members of his political party. He cared deeply for the Medicare program and wanted to improve it for all beneficiaries. Tom fought long and hard for the passage of Medicare Catastrophic, and then renewed his fight during the ultimate repeal of the legislation. He took the defeat particularly hard,